

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/691,883	HINTON ET AL.
	Examiner Janet M. Wilkens	Art Unit 3637

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Janet M. Wilkens.

(3) _____.

(2) Daniel R Kimbell.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2005

Time: 2:00pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b) and 112 2nd paragraph

Claims discussed:

1, 7, 19, 22, 27 and 29

Prior art documents discussed:

Sorensen (1,083,415) and Meyer et al (4,959,582)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

In order to clearly define claims 1 and 27 over the prior art (namely the references of Sorensen and Meyer), claim language was added to these claims. Also, some minor issues were corrected in the claims.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)